Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

2

- Subject is a key part of research in finance and accounting
- There is a cottage industry on time series models in financial econometrics
- Deeper analyses are possible using key concepts from mathematical finance and from statistical physics

- $\mathsf{ARCH}/\mathsf{GARCH}$ models mark the starting point of the professional analysis of financial time series

- Lots of generalisations – another cottage industry – e.g. E-GARCH, M-GARCH, T-GARCH etc

- Econometric analysis of cryptocurrency data a live topic of academic research – see e.g. Katsiampa (2017)

・ロト ・回 ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

• In the last lecture we saw that typically in financial time series the volatility is not constant

• Market returns tend to cluster into periods of extreme volatility and more tranquil periods

• Market returns also show marked differences from simulated returns from mathematical models

• All markets, especially cryptocurrency markets, can show very volatile behaviour

• This volatility will ultimately be more extreme than any mathematical/statistical model used to describe it

Example volatility: Time series plot of Bitcoin returns



Time

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

E

Real data more volatile than simulated data: Time series plot of simulated Bitcoin returns based on normal random walk model



Time

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

Same commands as before to read the data in
 >BitcoinData<-read.table("E:BitcoinData.txt")
 > ncol(BitcoinData)
 4

> price<-BitcoinData[,4]</pre>

```
> price<-rev(price)</pre>
```

```
> length(price)
```

2482

> logreturn<-log(price[-1])-log(price[-2482])</pre>

• Plot the log-returns and choose a sensible *y*-axis scale. (Need to do the first plot in order to see what a sensible scale would be.)

```
ts.plot(logreturn)
```

```
ts.plot(logreturn, ylim=c(-0.25, 0.35))
```

• Simulate log-returns from the normal random walk model and use the same *y*-axis to show how different the two series look

```
>mean(logreturn)
```

- 0.001716242
- > sd(logreturn)
- 0.04244594

```
ts.plot(rnorm(2481, 0.001716242, 0.04244594),
ylim=c(-0.25, 0.35), ylab=''simulated logreturn")
```

白 ト イヨト イヨト

- \bullet ARCH/GARCH solve the following problem
- Mathematical models such as Black-Scholes often assume that volatility is constant
- In reallity volatility is not constant and market returns can typically be clustered into periods of high-volatility and more tranquil low-volatility periods
- This basic problem has various different names
 - e.g. volatility clustering
 - e.g. long-range dependence in volatility

• • = • • = •

- 1. Mathematical formulation of the model
 - What precisely do ARCH and GARCH do?
- 2. ARCH/GARCH in R
- 3. Example 1: Ethereum data
- 4. Example 2: Ripple data
- 5. Example 3: Bitcoin data
- 6. References

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

• • = • • = •

1.1 Basic Math: Comparing Black-Scholes to ARCH(1) (Gujarati and Porter, Ch. 22)

• Under the Black-Scholes model the first differences of the log-price ΔX_t satisfy

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Delta X_t &\sim & {\sf N}\left(\mu,\sigma_t^2
ight) \ \sigma_t^2 &= & \sigma^2 = {\sf Constant} \end{array}$$

- However this model is at odds with real stock market data
- The ARCH(1) model is a partial fix to this problem

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta X_t & \sim & \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma_t^2\right) \\ \sigma_t^2 & = & \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 \\ u_t & = & (\Delta X_t - \mu) \text{ the residual} \end{array}$$

- Allows for high values of volatility to follow each other
- Allows for low values of volatility to follow each other

• Under the Black-Scholes model the first differences of the log-price ΔX_t satisfy

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Delta X_t &\sim & {\sf N}\left(\mu,\sigma_t^2
ight) \ \sigma_t^2 &= & \sigma^2 = {\sf Constant} \end{array}$$

• To address volatility clustering the ARCH(p) model is

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Delta X_t & \sim & \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma_t^2\right) \\ \sigma_t^2 & = & \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \ldots + \alpha_p u_{t-p}^2 \\ u_t & = & (\Delta X_t - \mu) \text{ the residual} \end{array}$$

- Allows for high values of volatility to follow each other
- Allows for low values of volatility to follow each other

伺下 イヨト イヨト

- ARCH and GARCH models spawned a cottage industry in financial econometrics e.g. E-GARCH, M-GARCH, S-GARCH etc
- ARCH=Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity
- GARCH=Generalised Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity
- As the name suggests GARCH is a generalisation of ARCH that allows for slightly richer behaviour in volatility

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• There is a whole statistical subject of time series that is inherently specialist

• Financial time series is a little less specialist but is a subject in its own right and very different from the regular ARMA time series models commonly studied in mainstream statistics

- We cannot hope to be able to do justice to either subject...
 - ARCH = Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity
- GARCH = Generalised Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity
 - ARCH = Autoregressive model for volatility
- GARCH = Autoregressive Moving Average model for volatility

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

1.5 The GARCH(1,1) model

• Under the Black-Scholes model the first differences of the log-price ΔX_t satisfy

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Delta X_t &\sim & {\sf N}\left(\mu,\sigma_t^2
ight) \ \sigma_t^2 &= & \sigma^2 = {\sf Constant} \end{array}$$

- However this model is at odds with real stock market data
- The GARCH(1,1) model is a slightly more advanced partial fix to this problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta X_t &\sim \quad \mathsf{N}\left(\mu, \sigma_t^2\right) \\ \sigma_t^2 &= \quad \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 \end{aligned}$$

- Model is more complicated as it involves an unobserved volatility component but the basic idea remains the same.
- Allows for high values of volatility to follow each other
- Allows for low values of volatility to follow each other

1.6 The GARCH(p, q) model

• Under the Black-Scholes model the first differences of the log-price ΔX_t satisfy

$$egin{array}{rcl} \Delta X_t &\sim & {\sf N}\left(\mu,\sigma_t^2
ight) \ \sigma_t^2 &= & \sigma^2 = {\sf Constant} \end{array}$$

- However this model is at odds with real stock market data
- The ARCH(1) model is a partial fix to this problem

$$\begin{array}{lll} \Delta X_t & \sim & \mathcal{N}\left(\mu, \sigma_t^2\right) \\ \sigma_t^2 & = & \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 u_{t-1}^2 + \ldots + \alpha_p u_{t-p}^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + \ldots + \beta_q \sigma_{t-q}^2 \\ u_t & = & (\Delta X_t - \mu) \text{ the residual} \\ \sigma_t^2 & = & \text{unobserved volatility component} \end{array}$$

- Allows for high values of volatility to follow each other
- Allows for low values of volatility to follow each other

1.7 Choosing the order of an ARCH/GARCH model

• Choosing the order of an ARCH/GARCH model is difficult and there are several different approaches

1. ARCH(1)/GARCH(1,1)

- Often easiest to assume that both ARCH and GARCH effects are present and just use a first-order model

- 2. Choose the order to coincide with certain time periods
- 3. Use *t*-tests to determine the order
 - Similar to t-tests in regression
 - See the worked example
- 4. Choose the model with the lowest Schwarz criterion

- Similar to advanced approaches in computer science/Bayesian statistics

- Chooses the model with the highest probability of being correct

1.8 Choosing the order of the ARCH/GARCH model to coincide with certain time intervals – a non-exhaustive list

- 1. Daily data
 - Order 1: Volatility from yesterday affects today
 - Order 5: Volatility from last week affects today
 - Order 10: Volatility from last 2 weeks affects today
- 2. Weekly data
 - Order 1: Volatility from last week affects this week
 - Order 4: Volatility from last month affects this week
- 3. Monthly data
 - Order 3: Volatility from last quarter affects this month
 - Order 12: Volatility from last year affects this quarter
- 4. Quarterly data
 - Order 4: volatility from last year affects this quarter

▲□ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶

1.9 ARCH/GARCH model selection for daily cryptocurrency data

- Two main possibilities
 - 1. GARCH(1, 1)
 - Assumption is that a low order model (and indeed the lowest possible order model) may be sufficient to give a good (albeit imperfect) description of volatility correlations on real markets.
 - 2. GARCH(7, 7)
 - Since currency and cryptocurrency markets trade 7 days a week the interpretation of this model would be that volatility from the previous week feeds forward and affects today

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

 \bullet Easiest way to fit ARCH/GARCH models in R is using the tseries package

- This fits a conditionally normally distributed model to the mean-corrected log-returns series
- More advanced ARCH/GARCH models may be possible using other packages e.g. fGARCH, RUgarch
- Fancier ARCH/GARCH models may be required for cryptocurrency data on occasion to account for
 - autocorrelation in the returns series (see e.g. Katsiampa, 2017)

- heavier tails than the conditional normal distributions in the GARCH model (e.g. Student t-distribution)

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

- The basic command is garch even if you fit a purely ARCH model without any GARCH terms
- The basic command is

garch(x, order=(q, p))

- There are two quirky features of this
 - 1. x is a demeaned log-returns series
 - Mathematically tend to write GARCH models as GARCH(p,q) where p is the ARCH bit and q is the GARCH bit. In R in tseries this ordering is revered.

白 ト イヨト イヨト

$2.3 \ \textsc{Using tseries}$ to fit ARCH and GARCH models in R

• Basic way of working shows some similarities with how R works in relation to regression and extended regression models

- 1. Fit the model using the command garch and save this as something
- 2. Then use the command summary to display the required results e.g. *t*-statistics
- Can formally test for the presence of an ARCH and GARCH effect
 - If any of a₁, a₂, ..., a_p are significant then we have evidence of the ARCH effect
 - 2. If any of b_1 , b_2 , ..., b_q are significant then we have evidence of the GARCH effect

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• *t*-statistics for the ARCH and GARCH effect follow on from other topics discussed in the module

• However, there is a notable difference in that given the ubiquity of ARCH/GARCH effects in financial time series these ARCH and GARCH terms are more likely than not to show significant effects provided that the order of the model chosen is appropriate

• Some example questions can be found in the mock exam on the Canvas module page

• Some additional worked-examples can be found below in the remainder of the lecture

- Price data on Ethereum from August 7th 2015-Feb 13th 2020
- Want to do the following tasks in R
 - 1. Read in the data
 - 2. Load the package tseries if you haven't done this already
 - 3. Fit low order ARCH(1) and GARCH(1, 1) models
 - 4. Experiment with the fitting of a higher order GARCH(7, 7) model that would have the interpretation of volatility from the previous week feeds forward and affects today

伺下 イヨト イヨト

- Following previous examples
- > EthereumData<-read.table(''E:EthereumData.txt")
- > ncol(EthereumData)

4

- > price<-EthereumData[,4]</pre>
- > price<-rev(price)</pre>
- > length(price)

1652

> logreturn<-log(price[-1])-log(price[-1652])</pre>

• • = • • = •

• Need to apply models to the mean-corrected log-returns resid<-logreturn-mean(logreturn)

• Fit ARCH(1) and GARCH(1, 1) models to this data remembering that the R syntax works the other way round to standard econometric notation arch1<-garch(resid, order=c(0, 1))

```
garch1<-garch(resid, order=c(0, 1))
garch11<-garch(resid, order=c(1, 1))</pre>
```

```
summary(arch1)
```

```
summary(garch11)
```

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

 \bullet Using the command summary in R gives conclusive evidence of the ARCH effect

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

a0 2.759e-03 5.856e-05 47.11 <2e-16 ***

a1 3.487e-01 2.960e-02 11.78 <2e-16 ***

• Hand calculation for an exam-type question

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 3.487 \times 10^{-1}/2.960 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$t = 11.78041 > 2, p < 0.05.$$

3.5 Testing for the GARCH effect

Using the command summary in R gives conclusive evidence of the both the ARCH and the GARCH effect
Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
a0 0.0003819 0.0000383 9.971 <2e-16 ***
a1 0.1949723 0.0181241 10.758 <2e-16 ***
b1 0.7070688 0.0216811 32.612 <2e-16 ***

• Hand calculation for ARCH effect

t = |estimate|/e.s.e = 0.1949723/0.0181241

t = 10.75763 > 2, p < 0.05.

• Hand calculation for GARCH effect

t = |estimate|/e.s.e = 0.7070688/0.0216811

$$t = 32.61222 > 2, \ p < 0.05.$$

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

★問▶ ★ 臣▶ ★ 臣▶ 二臣

```
• Fit a GARCH(7, 7) model
garch77<-garch(resid, order=c(7, 7))
summary(garch(77)
```

• Some evidence of an ARCH effect. Though non-significant terms suggest that there is some redundancy in this model and really that a lower-order model is appropriate

3.7 Results for the GARCH(7, 7) model

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) a0 9.852e-04 9.396e-04 1.049 0.294 a1 1.324e-01 1.928e-02 6.867 6.57e-12 *** a2 8.610e-02 1.489e-01 0.578 0.563 a3 5.899e-02 1.133e-01 0.521 0.602 a4 3.406e-02 7.287e-02 0.467 0.640 a5 4.179e-02 6.539e-02 0.639 0.523 a6 4.043e-02 5.282e-02 0.765 0.444 a7 1.596e-15 3.082e-02 0.000 1.000 b1 4.686e-02 1.125e+00 0.042 0.967 b2 4.258e-02 6.122e-01 0.070 0.945 b3 3.804e-02 4.072e-01 0.093 0.926 b4 3.961e-02 4.325e-01 0.092 0.927 b5 4.095e-02 4.196e-01 0.098 0.922 b6 4.109e-02 4.377e-01 0.094 0.925 b7 4.560e-02 2.418e-01 0.189 0.850

E

A 3 1 A 3 A 3 A 4

- Price data on Ripple from August 4th 2013-Feb 13th 2020
- Want to do the following tasks in R
 - 1. Read in the data
 - 2. Load the package tseries if you haven't done this already
 - 3. Fit low order ARCH(1) and GARCH(1, 1) models
 - 4. Experiment with the fitting of a higher order GARCH(7, 7) model that would have the interpretation of volatility from the previous week feeds forward and affects today

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

```
• Following previous examples
>RippleData<-read.table(''E:RippleData.txt")
> ncol(RippleData)
4
> price<-RippleData[,4]
> price<-rev(price)
> length(price)
2385
> logreturn<-log(price[-1])-log(price[-2385])</pre>
```

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

• Need to apply models to the mean-corrected log-returns resid<-logreturn-mean(logreturn)

• Fit ARCH(1) and GARCH(1, 1) models to this data remembering that the R syntax works the other way round to standard econometric notation

```
arch1<-garch(resid, order=c(0, 1))
garch11<-garch(resid, order=c(1, 1))
summary(arch1)</pre>
```

summary(garch11)

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

\bullet Using the command summary in R gives conclusive evidence of the ARCH effect

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

- a0 2.486e-03 2.726e-05 91.17 <2e-16 ***
- a1 9.300e-01 2.188e-02 42.51 <2e-16 ***
- Hand calculation for an exam-type question

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 9.300 \times 10^{-1}/2.188 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$t = 42.50457 > 2, p < 0.05.$$

4.5 Testing for the GARCH effect

- \bullet Using the command $\tt summary$ in R gives conclusive evidence of the both the ARCH and the GARCH effect
- Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
- a0 3.634e-04 1.453e-05 25.01 <2e-16 ***
- a1 3.363e-01 1.652e-02 20.36 <2e-16 ***
- b1 6.413e-01 1.261e-02 50.84 <2e-16 ***
- Hand calculation for ARCH effect

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 3.363 \times 10^{-1}/1.652 \times 10^{-2}$$

t = 20.35714 > 2, p < 0.05.

• Hand calculation for GARCH effect

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 6.413 \times 10^{-1}/1.261 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$t = 50.85646 > 2, \ p < 0.05.$$

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

```
• Fit a GARCH(7, 7) model
garch77<-garch(resid, order=c(7, 7))
summary(garch(77)
```

• R reports problems with numerical instabilities here suggesting that the higher order model does not work properly and contains some redundancies

- Price data on Bitcoin from April 29th 2013-Feb 13th 2020
- Want to do the following tasks in R
 - 1. Read in the data
 - 2. Load the package tseries if you haven't done this already
 - 3. Fit low order ARCH(1) and GARCH(1, 1) models
 - 4. Experiment with the fitting of a higher order GARCH(7, 7) model that would have the interpretation of volatility from the previous week feeds forward and affects today

伺下 イヨト イヨト

- As previously,
- >BitcoinData<-read.table("E:BitcoinData.txt")
- > ncol(BitcoinData)

4

- > price<-BitcoinData[,4]</pre>
- > price<-rev(price)</pre>
- > length(price)

2482

- > logreturn<-log(price[-1])-log(price[-2482])</pre>
- Now need to check that the package tseries is loaded

伺 ト イヨト イヨト

• Need to apply models to the mean-corrected log-returns resid<-logreturn-mean(logreturn)

• Fit ARCH(1) and GARCH(1, 1) models to this data remembering that the R syntax works the other way round to standard econometric notation

```
arch1<-garch(resid, order=c(0, 1))
garch11<-garch(resid, order=c(1, 1))
```

summary(arch1)
gummary(arch11)

summary(garch11)

・ 同下 ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

 \bullet Using the command ${\tt summary}$ in R gives conclusive evidence of the ARCH effect

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

- a0 1.286e-03 2.132e-05 60.34 <2e-16 ***
- a1 2.893e-01 2.023e-02 14.29 <2e-16 ***
- Hand calculation for an exam-type question

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 2.893 \times 10^{-1}/2.023 \times 10^{-2}$$

$$t = 14.30054 > 2, p < 0.05.$$

5.5 Testing for the GARCH effect

 \bullet Using the command $\tt summary$ in R gives conclusive evidence of the both the ARCH and the GARCH effect

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)

- a0 7.265e-05 5.197e-06 13.98 <2e-16 ***
- a1 1.411e-01 9.449e-03 14.93 <2e-16 ***
- b1 8.256e-01 9.227e-03 89.48 <2e-16 ***
- Hand calculation for ARCH effect

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 1.411 \times 10^{-1}/9.449 \times 10^{-3}$$

t = 14.9328 > 2, p < 0.05.

• Hand calculation for GARCH effect

$$t = |\text{estimate}|/\text{e.s.e} = 8.256 \times 10^{-1}/9.229 \times 10^{-3}$$

$$t = 89.47654 > 2, p < 0.05.$$

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

• Fit a GARCH(7, 7) model garch77<-garch(resid, order=c(7, 7)) summary(garch(77)

• Some evidence of an ARCH effect. Though non-significant terms suggest that there is some redundancy in this model and really that a lower-order model is appropriate

5.7 Results for GARCH(7, 7) model

Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|) a0 3.138e-04 3.925e-04 0.799 0.4240 a1 1.494e-01 1.556e-02 9.604 <2e-16 *** a2 7.778e-02 2.610e-01 0.298 0.7657 a3 4.519e-02 1.309e-01 0.345 0.7299 a4 2.248e-05 4.625e-02 0.000 0.9996 a5 8.639e-02 4.722e-02 1.829 0.0673 . a6 2.898e-02 1.517e-01 0.191 0.8485 a7 1.267e-02 6.592e-02 0.192 0.8475 b1 5.031e-02 1.744e+00 0.029 0.9770 b2 4.727e-02 1.009e+00 0.047 0.9627 h3 5 221e-02 3 924e-01 0 133 0 8942 b4 5.608e-02 2.004e-01 0.280 0.7796 b5 5.591e-02 1.291e-01 0.433 0.6650 b6 5.588e-02 1.370e-01 0.408 0.6834 b7 6.721e-02 1.397e-01 0.481 0.6305

E

→ Ξ →

Gujarati, D. N. and Porter, D. C. (2009) *Basic econometrics*, 5th edition. McGraw Hill, New York. Katsiampa, P. (2017) Volatility estimation for Bitcoin: A comparison of GARCH models. *Economics Letters* **158** 3-6.

Ch 13: ARCH/GARCH in R

・ 回 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト